

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/09
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Decatur

State: IL

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$10,778,022

PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1992

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 17 | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.21 % | 95.74 % | 11 | 93.41 % | 41 | 44 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 85.49 % | 91.05 % | 12 | 84.68 % | 44 | 44 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 4.37 | 2.86 | 1 | 4.72 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 88.82 % | 93.33 % | 15 | 80.76 % | 31 | 35 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 83.79 % | 80.14 % | 6 | 68.18 % | 72 | 77 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 88.18 % | 82.47 % | 9 | 80.32 % | 59 | 64 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 78.64 % | 49.69 % | 2 | 45.16 % | 89 | 93 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 91.82 % | 94.55 % | 15 | 95.04 % | 21 | 20 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: 2 / 17 | | Nationally: 90 91 | | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$7,792 | \$31,573 | | \$26,037 | 220 Units | 43.30 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$17,960 | \$12,838 | | \$14,755 | 220 Units | 43.30 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$31,592 | \$21,631 | | \$20,487 | 68 Units | 13.40 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,281 | | \$3,225 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Decatur IL

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$41,615 | \$45,948 | \$31,764 |
| State:* | \$104,779 | \$85,311 | \$25,669 |
| National:** | \$92,323 | \$73,745 | \$23,292 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| PJ: | 2.9 % |
| National Avg: | 1.1 % |

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.97

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | |
| White: | 33.2 | 65.3 | 57.4 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 64.9 | 34.7 | 39.7 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American and White: | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |

ETHNICITY:

| | | | | |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Hispanic | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
|----------|---|---|---|---|

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 1 Person: | 42.1 | 37.4 | 48.5 | 0.0 |
| 2 Persons: | 16.8 | 21.9 | 26.5 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 17.8 | 20.5 | 13.2 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 10.9 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 8.4 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 3.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Single/Non-Elderly: | 41.6 | 41.1 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| Elderly: | 2.5 | 1.8 | 32.4 | 0.0 |
| Related/Single Parent: | 45.5 | 33.3 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| Related/Two Parent: | 10.4 | 19.2 | 11.8 | 0.0 |
| Other: | 0.0 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 0.0 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

| | | |
|----------------|--|--|
| Section 8: | 75.2 | 0.5 [#] |
| HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | |
| Other: | 12.9 | |
| No Assistance: | 11.9 | |

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 13

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



**HOME PROGRAM
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Decatur

State: IL

Group Rank: 90
(Percentile)

State Rank: 2 / 17 PJs

Overall Rank: 91
(Percentile)

Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.37% | 88.82 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 49.28% | 83.79 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 88.18 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.81% | 91.82 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.070 | 2.89 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

